			Buildir		ure, or Facility Ro P-BIF	equest							
Project Title	Land A	cquisition					Fiscal Year		201!	5			
Requestor	Town Ma	anager											
Location		mined Locations Adjac	ent to N	Municipal Facilities			Project Category		L				
Funding	General		CPA Eli		Poss	ible	Department Prior	it∨	2				
Partners	n/a			g									
Project Description	the fac	cilities, allow for progr	am expa	ansion, and allow	for parking expansion	n.	icipal facilities that would						
Anticipated Result		ved usefulness of mun Such acquisition will				include s	chools, municipal buildin	gs, athletic	facilities,	and			
Alternatives													
Purpose Purpose													
Acquisition	X				Consultant		A, D, & E						
New Construction Addition		Engineering and De Phase	sign		Industry References		Site Development						
Reconstruction or Repair		Construction Phase			In-House	х	General Contractor						
Court, Federal or Sta Order	ite	Close Out Process			Other		Project Management						
Health or Safety		Next Phase					F, F, & E	& E					
New Technology							Technology						
Performance Measur	е						Other*		80	00,000			
Estimated Useful Life	<mark>:→</mark>			Indete	rminate		Total Budget		80	00,000			
				Project Fun	<mark>ding Schedule</mark>								
		FY2011		FY 2012	FY 2013		FY 2014	F	Y 2015				
Engineering & Design	า												
Construction													
Acquisition Costs									80	0,000			
Total									80	0,000			
Project Manager→		Town M											
				tional Budget Cons					YES	NO			
Are there additional	costs to bi	d, design, construct, o	complete	e, and/or use that	are <b>NOT</b> included in	this requ	est?		X				
							the submission of this re	equest?		X			
Will the requested pr	oject requ	ire an increase in the	next fis	scal year operating	budget for ANY dep	artment?				Х			
Will additional staff b	e required	d if the request is appr	roved?							Х			
Does the request inc	lude or re	quire new or additiona	al techno	ology?						Х			
		rities that produce rev								Х			
		will Town revenues be								Х			
•					d under the Additiona	al Informa	tion section						
				erating Budget Im					TBI	D			
					Information								
	Any operat						may be costs associated ich would likely be absor						

Future Project Request CIP-F										
Project Title	Police Station	n		Fiscal Yea	r	2014				
Department	Police									
Location	99 School Street	t								
Estimated Project Cost	2,500,000	Operating Budget Impact	С	Funding Source	GF	CPA Eligik	ole	N		
Project Manager	PPBC									
	•	P	roject Sumn	nary						

The police station was reconstructed twenty years ago in 1988 – 1989. The amount of space that was originally allocated within the building for some purposes has not sufficiently provided for changes in personnel, adjustments in operational methods and security requirements. Among the major concerns that exist at this time are:

- 1. Female locker space is not adequate to meet existing and future needs.
- 2. Evidence and property storage space is not sufficient.
- 3. Interview space and interview recording requirements must be expanded and improved.
- 4. More accessible public meeting space is necessary.
- 5. Future dispatch and emergency operations require evaluation for future needs.
- 6. There are parking limitations on the site of the facility.

In order to address the first three concerns, a proposal that involves an eleven hundred (1100) foot expansion of the existing facility is being discussed within the staff at the police department. This expansion would, if possible, increase the amount of space for female locker space, evidence, and property storage, and expand. Further consideration of this proposal would require evaluation by construction professionals.

Within the past two years, there was a proposal to address dispatch and emergency operations space needs by adding a second floor expansion above existing garage space, adjacent to the police/fire training room. Such an expansion would have also provided for increased public meeting space in the existing police dispatch center, near the front entrance of the police station. This proposal was eliminated from the Town Of Needham master facilities plan.

There is no proposal, short of moving the police station, to remedy the limited parking situation

		Buildi	ng, Infrastructu CIP-	re, or Facility R BIFX	equest		
Project Title	Pollar	d School Project				Fiscal Year	2011 - 2012
Requestor	Directo	r of Facility Operations					<b>'</b>
Location		rris Avenue, Pollard School				Project Category	В
Funding	Genera					Department Prior	rity 4
Partners	Public I	acilities will work with the Pe	rmanent Public Build	ding Committee (PPI	BC)		
Project Description	The Pollard mount million.  The Polpossible address drivews improvements for the polyton for t	and construction of 3 major p School, the parking feasibility of this project in FY 2011, with lard School roof requires evalu- nd 1992. This roof system has attinue with age. With every of the of damage within the building lard parking feasibility study of elevations to the current situal sed will include but are not lineary length for bus loading and lineary len	study, and the reno h construction phase uation and potential is a 10-year warranty rainstorm, new leaking. Estimated cost will assist in identifying ation at Pollard, when the to: overflow plack of available park lard Middle School Alem, upgrade sound provements at \$747 ecessary. The rehalt	vation of the science es in FY 2012.  replacement. The P  7. Roof leaks due to a develop, thus confor design study is a least the parking need ereas there are 77 parking, clogging neighing spaces. Estimate auditorium by doing system, and replace, 050. The five scien politication will consist	Pollard rooms seam failum promisin \$268,740.  ds at the Polarking spatighboring stated cost for the following labs in the following	f system is a PVC membrare and cracking have ing the integrity of the error of the	t is for funding of the design rane, which was installed in creased in recent years and nvelope and increasing the cost of replacement at \$2.5 by will evaluate and develops. Some of the issues to be regency vehicles, insufficient 20 with an estimated cost of tall air conditioning, replace ted cost for design study is deteriorated over the years of cabinets, shelving and lab
Anticipated Result	Create	a detailed plan of anticipated	work at the Pollard	School to ensure pr	roper prior	itization.	
Alternatives	Continu	ue to operate with leaks in the ool.	ceiling, parking and				
Purpose Purpose		Timeline		Method to Determ	nine Cost		ect Budget
Acquisition		Total Project Duration	21 Months	Consultant		A, D, & E	450,220
New Construction Addition (increase in size and/or function)		Engineering and Design Phase	July 2011	Industry References	x	Site Development	
Reconstruction or Repair	х	Construction Phase	April 2012	In-House		General Contractor	4,134,050
Court, Federal or Sta Order		Close Out Process		Other		Project Management	
Health or Safety	X	Next Phase	April 2013			F, F, & E	
New Technology						Technology	

Project Title F	)allard (			IFX				
-	oliara s	School Project			Fiscal Year	2	2011 - 2	2012
Performance Measure					Other*			-
Estimated Useful Life->	j				4,58	34,270		
			Project Fundin	<mark>g Schedule</mark>				
		FY2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	F	Y 2015	
Engineering & Design		450,220						
Construction			4,134,050					
Total		450,220	4,134,050					
Project Manager→		PPBC wi	th assistance from the Oper	ations Division				
			Operational Budget Consider	<mark>erations</mark>			YES	NO
Are there additional cos	ts to bid,	design, construct, c	omplete, and/or use that ar	e NOT included in this	request?			Х
If another department r	orovides s	support (personnel o	r financial), has the departn	nent been consulted be	fore the submission of this re-	quest?	Х	
Will the requested proje	ect require	e an increase in the	next fiscal year operating bu	udget for <b>ANY</b> departm	ent?			Х
Will additional staff be r	equired it	f the request is appr	oved?					Х
Does the request includ	le or requ	ire new or additiona	I technology?					Х
Does the request suppo	rt activiti	es that produce reve	enue for the Town?					Х
If the request is not app	oroved wi	Il Town revenues be	negatively impacted?					Х
		All "YES" res	ponses must be explained u	nder the Additional Inf	ormation section			
			Operating Budget Impa	<mark>ct→</mark>				
			Additional In	<mark>formation</mark>				

Project Title	DPV	V Pł	nase II					Fiscal Year		2011 - 2	2015
Requestor	Publi	ic Wo	orks- Engineering								
Location	470	Dedh	nam Ave					Project Category		В	
Funding	GF			CPA Eligik	ole	N	0	Department Priorit	:y		
Partners											
Project Description			construction and Engi		arage space for	DPW Equipment					
Anticipated Result	Lowe	er To	wns Bills and better re	eliability							
Alternatives											
Purpose Purpose				<mark>neline</mark>		Method to Determ	nine Cost		t Budget		
Acquisition			Total Project Duratio	n	12	Consultant		A, D, & E		1,10	0,000
New Construction Addition (increase in size and/or function)		x	Engineering and Des Phase	ign		Industry References		Site Development			
Reconstruction or Repair		X	Construction Phase			In-House	[	General Contractor		10,53	80,000
Court, Federal or Sta Order	te		Close Out Process			Other	[ <b>x</b> ]	Project Management			
Health or Safety		X	Next Phase		2011			F, F, & E			
New Technology		X						Technology			
Performance Measure		x						Other*			
Estimated Useful Life	<del>-&gt;</del>				30 Yea			Total Budget		11,630	0,000
						ling Schedule					
			FY2011	F	Y 2012	FY 2013		FY 2014	F	Y 2015	
Engineering & Design	1		100,000					1,000,000			
Construction							50,000	280,000		10,00	
Total			100,000			25	0,000	1,280,000		10,000	0,000
Project Manager→					ties/ DPW					\/F0	LNO
A Ale		- 1-1-			nal Budget Consi		Ale Le manan			YES	NO
			I, design, construct, co					the submission of this re	auoct2	X	X
			re an increase in the r						quest?		X
			if the request is appro		i year operating	budget for ANY dep	oai tirient:				X
			uire new or additional		av2					X	^
			ties that produce reve								X
			vill Town revenues be								x
ii the request is not a	αρρι σν	reu v				d under the Other Co	onsiderati	ons section			^
			All IL3 TES		ating Budget Imp		Ji isiuci ali	ons socion		Savir	nas
				<del>opel a</del>		siderations				Javii	igs
In FY 11 DPW is prop FY 13- remove the sr			ndertake a program st		e future renovat	ion and addition to					

			E	Buildir	ng, Infrastructu CIP		Request		
Project Title	Nev	v Se	nior Center					Fiscal Year	2011 - 2013
Requestor	Towr	n Mar	nager / Board of Sele	ctmen					•
Location			termined					Project Category	В
Funding	To B	e Det	termined	CPA Eli	gible		No	Department Prior	ity High
Partners									
Project Description	ultiminade programmer	nately equation ramne for s pro Board er Ex mitte on Mee gn of	y optimal level of functe with 29 spaces or ming space, with no rasignificant number ductivity and confided of Selectmen created te location, size and exploratory Committees are made a recommental space.	etioning. In and common for of peopratiality. In a Sender design, econduction from 19,091 for a series of the conduction from 19,091 for an and 10 for a series of the conduction from 19,091 for a s	The existing Senion of the street, while expansion, forcing ole have been disconior Center Explorate projected cost, and the Board of Select a feasibility study	or Center is locate the Center ser programs to be ntinued or relocatory Committee in dother matters. New Building for extmen for its control of the control	ted in a base rvices 75 – held in inap ated. The fa 2008 to exp related to the r the Senior nsideration in the made in F	ment that has environment that has environment to people per day. The propriate spaces. On occility does not have appropriate and make recomment to construction of a new Center, and conducted the December 2009. The Y2011/2012 (November,	health, independence, and ental issues. The parking is the facility has inadequate casion, programs requiring opriate office space, which dations to the Board on the senior center. The Senior three public hearings. The November 2, 2009 Special 2010) for \$400,000 for the struction of the new Senior
Anticipated Result	senic	or po	pulation.		, ,				de quality programs for our ult in continued decrease in
Alternatives	the c	qualit	y and quantity of ser	nior serv	vices.				
Purpose Purpose			Timeline			Method to Dete	ermine Cost		<mark>ct Budget</mark>
Acquisition  New Construction  Addition (increase in size and/or function)		х	Total Project Duration Engineering and Deserting Phase		24 – 36 months TBD	Consultant Industry References		A, D, & E Site Development	
Reconstruction or Repair			Construction Phase		TBD	In-House		General Contractor	
Court, Federal or Sta Order	te		Close Out Process		TBD	Other	х	Project Management	
Health or Safety			Next Phase		TBD			F, F, & E	
New Technology								Technology	
Performance Measure					1			Other*	
Estimated Useful Life	<del>→</del>					m of 20 years		Total Budget	Under Development
			FY2011		Project Fund FY 2012	ing Schedule FY 201	2	FY 2014	FY 2015
Engineering & Design			400,000		3,600,000	FY 201	3	FY 2014	FY 2015
Construction	'		400,000		3,000,000		+		
COTISTI UCTION									
Total			400,000		3,600,000				

			Build	ding, Infrasti	ructure, or Fa	acility	Request				
Project Title	New Senior	Center						Fiscal Yea	ar	2011 -	2013
Project Manager→		Direct	or of C	Construction & R	enovation				'		
			<mark>Ope</mark>	erational Budget	Considerations					YES	NO
Are there additional of										Х	
If another departmen								submission of	this request?	Х	
Will the requested pr					ating budget fo	r <b>ANY</b> c	lepartment?			Х	
Will additional staff b	e required if the	request is ap	proved	<del>/</del> ?						Х	
									ent Employees'		
								Independe	nt Contractors'		
Does the request incl										Х	
Does the request sup											Х
If the request is not a	approved will Tov										Х
		All <b>"YES"</b> t				e Other	Considerations :	section			
				Operating Budge	<mark>et Impact→</mark>					Unkr	nown
				Othe	er Consideration	<mark>S</mark>					
			Operat	tion and Mainten							
Descripti	on			ting and Mainter	nance Expenditu	re Deta	il Estimates				
Personnel (new)				f Operation	Seco	nd Year	il Estimates of Operation		Third Year of C	peration	
		First FTE #				nd Year			Third Year of C	peration 1.25	
Salaries and Wages					Seco	nd Year				1.25	45,983
Indirect Personnel Co					Seco	nd Year				1.25	
Indirect Personnel Co Other Personnel Cost	S				Seco	nd Year #		FT	E #	1.25	15,174
Indirect Personnel Co Other Personnel Cost <b>Sub Total of Person</b>	S				Seco	nd Year #		FT	E #	1.25	15,174 5 <b>1,157</b>
Indirect Personnel Co Other Personnel Cost <b>Sub Total of Persor</b> Services	nnel Costs				Seco	nd Year #		FT	E #	1.25	15,174 5 <b>1,157</b> 69,332
Indirect Personnel Co Other Personnel Cost <b>Sub Total of Persor</b> Services Supplies and Materia	nnel Costs				Seco	nd Year #		FT	E #	1.25	15,174
Indirect Personnel Co Other Personnel Cost <b>Sub Total of Person</b> Services Supplies and Materia Equipment	s nnel Costs				Seco	nd Year #		FT	E #	1.25	15,174 5 <b>1,157</b> 69,332
Indirect Personnel Co Other Personnel Cost Sub Total of Person Services Supplies and Materia Equipment Sub Total of Non-Po	s nnel Costs				Seco	nd Year #		FT	E #	1.25	15,174 5 <b>1,157</b> 69,332
Indirect Personnel Co Other Personnel Cost Sub Total of Person Services Supplies and Materia Equipment Sub Total of Non-Po Costs	s nnel Costs				Seco	nd Year #		FT	E #	1.25	15,174 61,157 69,332 8,043
Indirect Personnel Co Other Personnel Cost Sub Total of Person Services Supplies and Materia Equipment Sub Total of Non-Po	s nnel Costs Is ersonnel	FTE #	%	f Operation	Seco FTE	nd Year # %	of Operation	33 33	E #	1.25	15,174 61,157 69,332 8,043
Indirect Personnel Co Other Personnel Cost Sub Total of Person Services Supplies and Materia Equipment Sub Total of Non-Po Costs GRAND TOTAL	s nnel Costs Is ersonnel	FTE #	% e for O	of Operation	Second FTE	nd Year # % nse Inc	of Operation	33 Capital Project	% %	1.25	15,174 <b>61,157</b> 69,332 8,043
Indirect Personnel Co Other Personnel Cost Sub Total of Person Services Supplies and Materia Equipment Sub Total of Non-Pi Costs GRAND TOTAL	s nnel Costs Is ersonnel	FTE #	% e for O	f Operation	Second FTE	nd Year # % nse Inc	of Operation	33 Capital Project	E #	1.25	15,174 61,157 69,332 8,043
Indirect Personnel Co Other Personnel Cost Sub Total of Person Services Supplies and Materia Equipment Sub Total of Non-Pi Costs GRAND TOTAL	s nnel Costs Is ersonnel	FTE #	% e for O	of Operation	Second FTE	nd Year # % nse Inc	of Operation	33 Capital Project	% %	1.25	15,174 61,157 69,332 8,043
Indirect Personnel Co Other Personnel Cost Sub Total of Persor Services Supplies and Materia Equipment Sub Total of Non-Poosts GRAND TOTAL  Revenue Sou 1 2	s nnel Costs Is ersonnel	FTE #	% e for O	of Operation	Second FTE	nd Year # % nse Inc	of Operation	33 Capital Project	% %	1.25	15,174 61,157 69,332 8,043
Indirect Personnel Co Other Personnel Cost Sub Total of Persor Services Supplies and Materia Equipment Sub Total of Non-Poosts GRAND TOTAL  Revenue Sou 1 2 3	s nnel Costs Is ersonnel	FTE #	% e for O	of Operation	Second FTE	nd Year # % nse Inc	of Operation	33 Capital Project	% %	1.25	69,332
Indirect Personnel Co Other Personnel Cost Sub Total of Person Services Supplies and Materia Equipment Sub Total of Non-Pi Costs GRAND TOTAL  Revenue Sou	s nnel Costs Is ersonnel	FTE #	% e for O	peration  perating and Ma	Second FTE	nd Year # % nse Inc	of Operation	33 Capital Project	% %	1.25	15,174 <b>61,157</b> 69,332 8,043

				011	P-BIF1						
Project Title	_		se of Open Space				Fiscal Year			11	
Requestor			ation Commission, Park and	Recreation Commi	ission, Board of S	electmen	Budget Request		\$3,00	00,000	
Location		ıknowı	1			1	Project Category			Ļ	
Funding		neral				Yes	Department Prior	ity			
Partners			CPA and grant opportunities								
Project Description	dis mo Sp op	scussion oving face are en are	much notice, opportunities tons on development of land forward. It is possible that so a Recreation Plan reflects the eas, or access to other parce open space is also a high pri	develop. This projection ome purchases contenting action of making action be achieved	ect request is to I uld be related to dditional purchase	keep all aware easements, as es, especially in	of the possibility in the s opposed to full owners areas of Town without o	near future ship of the la current open	of a puand. The space to	irchas e Ope o retai	
Anticipated Result											
Alternatives											
Purpose Purpose			Timeline		Method to De	termine Cost		ct Budget			
Acquisition		Х	Total Project Duration	One year	Consultant		A, D, & E	ļ			
New Construction/Additio	n	X	Engineering and Design Phase Begins		Industry References		Site Development				
Reconstruction or Repair			Construction Phase Begins		In-House		General Contractor				
Court, Federal or Sta Order	ate		Target Project Completion		Other		Project Management				
Health or Safety			ļ		Comr	nent	F, F, & E	ĺ			
New Technology			Estimate della statutta	[	ſ		Technology				
Performance Measur	е		Estimated Useful Life >	perpetual			Other*		\$3,000,00		
Project Manager→			Conservation Agent, Directo	or of Park and Recr	eation		Total Budget		3,00	00,000	
			<mark>Oper</mark>	ational Budget Cor	<mark>nsiderations</mark>				YES	NO	
			d, design, construct, comple							Х	
			s support (personnel or finar				the submission of this r	equest?	X		
			ire an increase in the next f	scal year operating	g budget for <b>ANY</b>	department?				Х	
			I if the request is approved?							Х	
Does the request inc	lude	or rec	quire new or additional techr	nology?						Х	
			ities which produce revenue							Х	
If the request is not	appr	oved	will Town revenues be negat							Х	
			All " <b>YES</b> " responses			tional Informa	tion section				
			C	<mark>perating Budget I</mark>						В	
					I Information						
Without knowing the	spe		arcel, it is difficult to determ	ine long-term oper	rating costs. Any		the newly purchased p acquired using CPA fund		oe eligik	ole for	

Future Project Request CIP-F											
Project Title	Rosemary Po	ol Renovations		Fiscal Yea	ır	2013					
Department	Park and Recrea	and Recreation and Department of Public Works									
Location	Rosemary Pool C	Rosemary Pool Complex – 178 Rosemary Street									
Estimated Project Cost	3,000,000	Operating Budget Impact	D	Funding Source	General Fund	neral Fund CPA Eligible		No			
Project Manager	Park and Recrea	tion Director with D	epartment of	Public Works							

#### **Project Summary**

Existing design funds will be utilized to help determine best method for disconnecting pool from lake, replacement of pool floor, and other improvements to increase the longevity of the Town's only public swimming facility.

Park and Recreation received \$100,000 design funds for Rosemary Pool several years ago. About \$30,000 was already spent to design improvements to the hillside, but about \$70,000 has been held in reserve for a longer-term study.

Based on a 1999 study, a renovation of the pool, building and parking area has been on the capital plan for about 10 years. Half of the approximate \$10,000,000 renovation would be related to parking. Some of the improvements recommended in the 1999 study have been done through small capital projects funded at recent Town Meetings.

This revised project request does not anticipate making a full renovation, but to concentrate on major tasks that would enable the Town to maintain its only public owned swimming facility.